NOIDA INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY, PHARMACY INSTITUTE, GREATER NOIDA

Date: 29/04/2014

Faculty Performance Appraisal and Department System

The institute has framed the policy to retained, motivate and upgrade the existing faculty members. In this regard, the institute authorities have designed the faculty performance appraisal development Performa (Performa is attached herewith). The Performa is divided into two sections. In the first section faculty members completes the Performa of first section and the second section is reported by concern head of the department in which he gives the report confidentially to director. Complete filled Performa is finally analyzed by director. In the end, Director evaluates the performance of each and every faculty members. During analysis, the main focus is to see that no employee should be underutilized nor over utilized. Finally, the focus is for the productive utilization of an employee. Finally director recommends for increment and/or increment to the management of NIET.

The above institute policy helps to retain the faculty in the institute and provide the quality education to the NIET students.

Dr. A. Mazumder

(Director)

Copy to:

- Hon'ble MD/AMD for kind Information pl.
- All HODs
- All faculty members
- Notice Board



NOIDA INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY



19, KNOWLEDGE PARK-II, INSTITUTIONAL AREA, GREATER NOIDA, (U. P.) - 201 306 www.niet.co.in

Faculty Performance Appraisal and Development Proforma

	Department of				
	Session:				
1.	Name of the Faculty				
2.	Department				
3.	Designation				

Odd Semester Even Semester 5. Results (Pass Percentage)

Odd Semester Even Semester

- 6. Conferences/Workshops/Seminars attended/organized (attach separate sheets if required)
- 7. Publications (Mention Impact Factor) (attach separate sheets if required)

Signature of Faculty

4. Subjects Taught

Contd. page 2

8. Special Tasks/Innovat	ion done by the facult	ty for the uplift	ment of department a	nd/or institute (if any)
	.%			
9. Any lecture/seminar g	iven by the faculty m.	ombor outside	the institute	and the second s
	A strong the faculty me	carber outside i	ine institute	
4 .				
10. Any work done by the	faculty at the univers	sity/industry lev	vel	
25				
1. Consultancy given by t	ha faanley man han			
i. Consultancy given by t	ne faculty member			
				•
12. Any project submitted	to the AICTE/DST/N	1SME		

3. Strengths of the faculty	į.			

4. Weaknesses of the facu	lty			
*				
5. Total leave taken by the	faculty during the co	accian		
	racing during the sc	COSTOIL		
EL			CL	
6. Self appraisal for increa	nent (Attach separate	e sheets)		

Signature of Faculty

Contd. page 3

17. Self appraisal for promotion to higher post (If necessary) (attach separate sheets)					
18. Any other points not me	entioned above and faculty wants to say regarding his/her performance appraisal				
× .					

Signature of Faculty

Remarks of HOD

Signature of HOD with Date



NOIDA INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY

19, KNOWLEDGE PARK-II, INSTITUTIONAL AREA, GREATER NOIDA, (U. P.) - 201 306

www.niet.co.in

Overall Faculty Appraisal Report

	Department of
	Session:
Nar	me of the faculty Member: Designation:
1.	Participation in departmental activities
	Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor
2.	Punctuality, regularity, sincerity and honesty in department
	Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor
	Excellent very Good Good Pan Tool
3.	Academic impression of faculty member among students as perceived by you
	Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor
4.	Cooperation in departmental activities
	Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor
	Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor
5.	Does he/she inform you before taking leave or remaining absent?
	Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor
6.	Inclination towards higher studies and research
	Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor
	The state of the s

7.	7. Contribution towards gr	Contribution towards growth of the department					
	Exe	ellent Ver	y Good G	ood Fair	Poor		

8.	8. Contribution towards gr	owth of the in	etitute				
	o. Continuation towards gr	Owen of the II	istitute				
	Exc	ellent Ver	y Good G	ood Fair	Poor		
9.	9. Do you recommend his/I	er for increm	ent/incremen	ts? Please co	mment.		
***		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	*************		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
***			************	***********			
	*************************		******	********	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
* * * *	************		******	**********		**************	
10	10. Do you recommend his/h	er for promot	tion? Plages of	mmont			

		******	**********			***********	
			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			*****	
11.	11. Any other comments by	HOD			×		
		************				**************	

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Sign	Signature of HOD with Date						
		×					
Ren	temarks of the Director						
			*				
	ignature of the Director						
A 65 81	SHOULD BY THE PART WALLE						